| CROSBY, HEAFEY, NOACH & MAY PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION | 1 2 3 4 5 | David Bush (State Bar No. 154511) Jennifer Henry (State Bar No. 208221) BUSH & HENRY 4400 Keller Avenue Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94605-4229 Telephone: (510) 577-0747 Facsimile: (510) 577-0787 Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 1355) CHANLER LAW GROUP | ENDORSED FILED | |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|-----------|
| | 6 7 8 9 | Magnolia Lane New Canaan, CT 06840-3801 Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222 Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro | APR 2 5 2001 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By LINDNELL WILLIAMS | |
| | 10 11 12 | John E. Dittoe (State Bar No. 88244) CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY Professional Corporation 1999 Harrison Street Oakland, CA 94612-3572 | Deputy | |
| | 13 14 | Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2084 Oakland, CA 94604-2084 | | |
| | 15 16 17 | Telephone: (510) 763-2000 Facsimile: (510) 273-8832 Attorneys for Defendant Wilson Sporting Goods Co. | | |
| | 18 19 20 | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIF | FORNIA – COUNTY OF ALAMEDA No. H-216056-6 | |
| | 21 22 23 | vs. WILSON SPORTING GOODS, CO.; and DOES 1 through 1000, | CONSENT JUDGMENT | |
| | 24 25 26 | Defendants. | | |
| | 27 28 | | - 1 - | 9444673.1 |

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Michael DiPirro ("DiPirro") is an individual residing in San Francisco who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products:

1.2. Wilson Sporting Goods Co. ("Wilson") manufactured, distributed or sold in the State of California certain products that Plaintiff contends contain chemicals listed pursuant to Proposition 65 (California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq.).

1.3. Wilson asserts that Apple Sports Inc. ("Apple") is a licensee of Wilson who manufactured, distributed or sold in the State of California certain products that Plaintiff contends contain chemicals listed pursuant to Proposition 65 (California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq.).

1.4. The products that contain one or more of the chemicals listed pursuant to Proposition 65 and which are covered by this Consent Judgment are set forth in Exhibit A (the "Products"), which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

1.5. By notice dated August 4, 2000, DiPirro first served Wilson and all of the requisite public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice" which provided Wilson and such public agencies with notice that Wilson was allegedly in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that the Products exposed users to certain Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals;

- 2 -

9444673.1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- On October 19, 2000, DiPirro filed a complaint entitled Michael DiPirro v. Wilson Sporting Goods Co., Case No. H-216056-6, in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda, naming Wilson and various does as defendants and alleging violations of Business & Professions Code §17200 et seg., and Health & Safety Code §25249 et seq., on behalf of individuals in California who may have been exposed to certain chemicals listed pursuant to Proposition 65 contained in certain products. Wilson filed its answer to the complaint on November 28, 2000.
- 1.7. Wilson denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in the 60-Day Notice and the Complaint filed by DiPirro for alleged violations of Proposition 65 and Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq., and maintains that all Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Wilson and its licensees in California have been and are in compliance with all laws.
- 1.8 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean March 21, 2001.
- 1.9 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" includes all products listed on Exhibit A hereto, and any other substantially similar products which may be manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Wilson, or its licensee identified in Section 1.3 of this Consent Judgment, in California after the Effective Date which now or in the future contain Listed Chemicals.
- 1.10 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "New Products" shall include any Products that are substantially similar to those included in Exhibit A which contain a Listed Chemical and which were not manufactured, distributed

and/or sold by Wilson, or its licensee identified in Section 1.3 of this Consent Judgment, into California on or before the Effective Date.

1.11 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Listed Chemicals" means chemicals that are currently or may in the future be listed pursuant to Proposition 65.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1. Future Sales of Covered Products. Wilson will not distribute or sell any Covered Products into California after April 21, 2001 that Wilson knows contain lead, unless such Covered Products contain the labeling set forth in Section 2.2. For any Covered Products that Wilson knows contain lead and that Wilson licenses for Apple to distribute or sell into California after April 21, 2001, Wilson shall specify that such Covered Products contain the labeling set forth in Section 2.2.
- 2.2 Product Labeling. If Wilson distributes or sells into California after April 21, 2001, any Covered Products that it knows contain lead, then Wilson shall itself (for those Covered Products that it distributes or sells) or shall specify for its licensee identified in Section 1.3 (for those Covered Products that its licensee identified in Section 1.3 distributes or sells) that the product or packaging labels for those Covered Products be revised so as to be consistent with this Consent Judgment ("Revised Labels"). Wilson shall use reasonable efforts to ensure that all Covered Products in its possession, that it knows contain lead and that are intended for distribution or sale in California, are packaged or sold using Revised Labels as soon as commercially reasonable; and shall use reasonable efforts to specify that all Covered Products in the possession of the licensee identified in Section 1.3 that Wilson knows contain lead and that are intended for distribution

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

or sale in California are packaged or sold using Revised Labels as soon as commercially reasonable. However, after April 21, 2001 (the "Revised Label Compliance Date") Wilson shall not knowingly ship (or cause to be shipped) to California for sale or distribution any Covered Products that it knows contain lead unless each such Covered Product is accompanied by a Revised Label on or within the Covered Product package, or affixed to Covered Products, as set forth below, or an equivalent warning that complies with Section 12601 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations. Wilson shall also specify that its licensee identified in Section 1.3 of this Consent Judgment will not knowingly ship (or cause to be shipped) after April 21, 2001 to California for sale or distribution any Covered Products that it knows contains lead unless each such Covered Product is accompanied by a Revised Label on or within the Covered Product package, or affixed to Covered Products, as set forth below, or an equivalent warning that complies with Section 12601 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations.

"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm)."

The warning statement shall be prominent and displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs, as to render it likely to be read and reasonably understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Revised Label" does not include an MSDS form that otherwise meets the requirements of Paragraph 2. The labeling revisions may be made in the form of an adhesive sticker, stamp or permanent changes to the outside packaging of the product package.

27

28

2.3. <u>Deemed Compliance.</u> Any New Products that are manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Wilson or Apple after the Revised Label Compliance Date shall be deemed to comply with the provisions of this Consent Judgment and the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to product warnings if they are accompanied by Revised Labels as set forth in Section 2.2 of this Consent Judgment.

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

2.4. Product Characterization. Plaintiff contends that each of the Covered

Products listed in Exhibit A contains one or more substances known to the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects (or other reproductive harm). In the event that Wilson obtains analytical, risk assessment or other data ("Exposure Data") that shows that an exposure to any or all Covered Products poses "no significant risk" or will have "no observable effect," as each such standard is applicable and as each is defined under Health & Safety Code §25249.10(c), Wilson shall provide DiPirro with 90 days prior written notice of its intent to limit or eliminate the Revised Labels under this Consent Judgment based on the Exposure Data and shall provide DiPirro with all such supporting Exposure Data. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of Wilson's Exposure Data, DiPirro shall provide Wilson with written notice of his intent to challenge the Exposure Data (in the event that he chooses to make such a challenge). If DiPirro fails to provide Wilson written notice of his intent to challenge the Exposure Data within thirty (30) days of receipt of Wilson's notice and the Exposure Data, DiPirro shall waive all rights to challenge the Exposure Data, and Wilson shall be entitled to limit or eliminate the Revised Labels required under this Consent Judgment with respect to those Covered Product(s) to which the Exposure Data applies. If DiPirro timely notifies Wilson of his intent to challenge the Exposure DiPirro and Wilson shall negotiate in good faith to attempt to reach a settlement. In the event that no settlement is reached within thirty (30) days of mailing by DiPirro of such notice of challenge, DiPirro and Wilson agree to submit 9444673.1 - 6 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

such challenge to the Court for determination, pursuant to the Court's continuing jurisdiction of this matter under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such a determination. If DiPirro does not challenge Wilson's notice or the Court determines that no warning is required for particular Covered Products, Wilson shall no longer be required to provide the warnings described in this Consent Judgment for those Covered Products.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

Civil Penalty. Subject to the limitations set forth below, Wilson shall, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), pay a civil penalty of \$6,000 in two installments. The first payment of \$3,000 shall be made to DiPirro within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date. The second payment of \$3,000, which shall be due by March 31, 2002, shall be waived if Wilson has sent DiPirro a notice, no later than March 31, 2002, certifying that Wilson has not sold or distributed Covered Products which it knows contains lead into California between April 21, 2001 and March 21, 2002. The first \$3,000 payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group in Trust for Michael DiPirro" and shall be held in escrow by DiPirro's counsel until this Consent Judgment has been entered. If the second \$3,000 payment is made, it shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group in Trust for Michael DiPirro." Any penalty monies received shall be apportioned by DiPirro in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California. DiPirro shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this paragraph. In the event this Consent Judgment is not entered, any payment made pursuant to this paragraph shall be returned to Wilson, with interest thereon at a rate of six percent (6%) per annum, - 7 -

within five (5) days of receipt of notice of the Court's rejection of this proposed Consent Judgment.

3.2. Reimbursement of Fees and Costs. The parties acknowledge that DiPirro offered to resolve the dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving this open issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been reached and the matter settled. Wilson then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue concurrently with other settlement terms, so the parties reached an accord on the compensation due to DiPirro and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5.

Wilson shall reimburse DiPirro for his fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Wilson's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Wilson shall within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date, pay to DiPirro the sum of \$17,000 for his investigation, expert, attorneys' and paraprofessional fees and costs incurred in this matter. The \$17,000 amount shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and held in escrow by DiPirro's counsel until this Consent Judgment has been entered by the court. In the event this Consent Judgment is not entered, any payment made pursuant to this paragraph shall be returned to Wilson, with interest thereon at a rate of six percent (6%) per annum, within five (5) days of receipt of notice of the Court's rejection of this proposed Consent Judgment.

4. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

4.1. <u>DiPirro's Release of Wilson</u>. In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to -8 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Section 3, DiPirro, on behalf of himself, his agents, representatives, attorneys, and/or assignees, and the People of the State of California on whose behalf this action was brought, hereby waives all rights to institute and participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities. demands, damages, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees and other costs) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively, "Claims"), against Wilson and any of its parent companies and affiliated companies, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries (and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them), and its licensees (including, but not limited to Apple) and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, partners, agents, and employees (collectively, "Wilson Releasees"). This waiver and release shall pertain to Claims arising under Proposition 65 or Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq., related to the Wilson Releasees alleged failure to warn about exposures on or before the Effective Date to certain Listed Chemicals contained in any of the Covered Products listed in Exhibit A. It is specifically understood and agreed that Wilson's compliance with the terms of this Release resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future, concerning the Wilson Releasees' past compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 or Business and Professions Code §17200 et seq., arising from the Wilson Releasees' alleged failure to comply with Proposition 65 in connection with the Covered Products listed on Exhibit A occurring on or before the Effective Date.

DiPirro's Release of "Downstream Persons." DiPirro, on behalf of 4.2. himself, his agents, and/or assignees and the People of the State of California on whose behalf this action was brought, further waives all rights to institute any form of legal action and releases all Claims against each licensee, distributor, wholesaler,

- 9 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

retailer, dealer, customer, owner, operator, lessor, lessee or user of the Covered Products, or any of their respective parent and affiliated companies, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries (and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them) and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, partners, attorneys, representatives, agents, employees (collectively, "Downstream Persons"). This waiver and release shall pertain to Claims arising under Proposition 65 or Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq., related to the Downstream Persons' alleged failure to warn about exposures on or before the Effective Date to certain Listed Chemicals contained in any of the Covered Products listed in Exhibit A. It is specifically understood and agreed that this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future, concerning the Downstream Persons' past compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 or Business & Professions Code §17200, et sea., arising from the Wilson Releasees' or the Downstream Persons' alleged failure to comply with Proposition 65 in connection with the Covered Products on or before the Effective Date.

4.3. Wilson Release. Wilson waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against DiPirro, and his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made on or before the Effective Date by DiPirro, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 or Business & Professions Code §17200, et seq. against Wilson.

WILSON'S COVERED PRODUCT INFORMATION 5.

5.1 Wilson understands that the Covered Product sales (and other) information provided to counsel for DiPirro by Wilson was a material factor upon which DiPirro has relied to determine a fair and reasonable settlement. To the best of Wilson's knowledge, the information provided is true and accurate. In the event 9444673.1 - 10 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DiPirro discovers facts which demonstrate to a reasonable degree of certainty that the information is materially inaccurate, all other parts of this Consent Judgment notwithstanding. DiPirro shall have the right to vacate this Consent Judgment and re-institute an enforcement action against Wilson, provided that all sums paid by Wilson pursuant to Section 3.2 are returned to Wilson, with interest thereon at a rate of six percent (6%) per annum, within five (5) days from the date on which DiPirro notifies Wilson of his intent to vacate this Consent Judgment. In such case, all applicable statutes of limitation shall be deemed tolled for the period between the date DiPirro filed the instant action and the date DiPirro notifies Wilson that he is seeking to vacate this Consent Judgment pursuant to this paragraph, provided that, in no event shall any statute of limitation be tolled beyond four (4) years from the date this action was filed.

6. **COURT APPROVAL**

If this Consent Judgment is not approved and entered by the Court within 60 days of the Effective Date, it shall be deemed null and void as of the sixty-first (61st) day after the Effective Date and cannot be used in any proceeding.

7. **SEVERABILITY**

7.1. In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected thereby.

8. **ATTORNEY'S FEES**

8.1 In the event a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this 9444673.1 - 11 -

1

Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

9. **GOVERNING LAW**

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products specifically, Wilson shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Covered Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

10.1. All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and shall be personally delivered or sent by first-class, registered, certified mail, overnight courier, and/or via facsimile transmission (with presentation of facsimile transmission confirmation) addressed as follows:

If to DiPirro: Chanler Law Group Magnolia Lane

New Canaan, Connecticut 06840-3801

(Fax) 203/801-5222

If to Wilson: Raymond M. Berens, Esq.

General Counsel

Wilson Sporting Goods Co. 8700 West Bryn Mawr Chicago, Illinois 60631 (Fax) 773-714-4557

with copies to: Jeffrey Key, Esq.

3941 South Keeler Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60632

(fax) 312-957-1236

9444673.1

John E. Dittoe, Esq. Crosby, Heafey, Roach & May 1999 Harrison Street P.O. Box 2084 Oakland, CA 94604-2084 (Fax) 510/273-8832

Either party, from time to time, may specify- a change of address or facsimile number to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

11. NO ADMISSIONS

11.1. No Admissions. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Wilson or its licensees of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Wilson or its licensees of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Wilson. Wilson and its licensees reserve all of their rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this Paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Wilson under this Consent Judgment.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT; MODIFICATION

12.1 This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings between the parties. This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon the written agreement of the parties.

9444673.1

13. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

the parties in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be approved as to form by counsel for

14. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

14.1 The parties shall cooperate in complying with the reporting requirements set forth in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). If, as of the Effective Date, a form approved by the Attorney General's Office is available for use, such form shall be promptly completed and then sent to the California Attorney General's Office, along with a copy of this proposed Consent Judgment, by certified mail, return receipt requested. If a form approved by the Attorney General's office is not available as of the Effective Date, Wilson shall promptly send this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office by certified mail, return receipt requested, along with a cover letter stating that "The attached proposed Consent Judgment is provided to you pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f)." Exhibit B attached hereto contains a statement by counsel for Wilson that, consistent with this Section 14.1, the proposed Consent Judgment is being submitted concurrently to the California Attorney General's Office with its presentation to the Alameda County Superior Court.

15. AUTHORIZATION

15.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the - 14 - 9444673.1

| CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | DATED: April 3, 2001 APPROVED AS TO FORM: DATED: April, 2001 DATED: April, 2001 | Michael DiPirro By: Raymond M. Berens General Counsel Wilson Sporting Goods Co. CHANLER LAW GROUP Clifford A. Chanler Attorney for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY Professional Corporation By: John E. Dittoe Attorneys for Defendant Wilson Sporting Goods Co. |
|--|---|--|---|
| O | 18 | IT IS TO ORDERED. | |
| | 19 | DATED:, 2001 | |
| | 20 | | Judge of the Superior Court |
| | 21 22 | | |
| | 23 | | |
| | 24 | | |
| | 25 | | |
| | 26 | | |
| | 27 | | |
| | 28 | | - 15 - 9444673.1 |

| | 1 2 | DATED: April 2, 2001 | By: Michael DiPirm | |
|--|-------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | 3 4 5 | DATED: April, 2001 | By: Raymond M. Berens General Counsel Wilson Sporting Goods Co. | |
| | 6 7 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | | |
| | 8 | DATED: April <u>3</u> , 2001 | CHANLER LAW GROUP | |
| | 9 10 | | By: 05 May | |
| | 11 | | (or Clifford A. Chanler () Attorney for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro | |
| ≻ MA | 12 | | | |
| ACH 8 | 13 | DATED: April, 2001 | CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY Professional Corporation | |
| Y, RO | 14 | | Professional Corporation | |
| IEAFE SIONAL | 15 | | By: John E. Dittoe | |
| CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION | 16 | | Attorneys for Defendant Wilson Sporting Goods Co. | |
| CRO | 17 | | | |
| | 18 | IT IS TO ORDERED. | | |
| | 19 | DATED: Apr 25, 2001 | | |
| | 20 | DATED, 2001 | DAVID E. HUNTER | |
| | 21 | , | Judge of the Superior Court | |
| | 22 | | | |
| | 23 | | | |
| | 24 | | | |
| | 25 | | | |
| | 26 | | | |
| | 27 | | | |
| | 28 | | - 15 - 9444673.1 | |

Exhibit A

| | 1 | EVIUDIT A WILSON SPORTING COORS CO |
|--|----------|--|
| | 1 2 | EXHIBIT A – WILSON SPORTING GOODS CO. |
| | 3 | 1. Wilson Golf Club Head Weights |
| | 4 | The state of the s |
| | 5 | 2. Wilson Swing Weights For Tennis Racquets |
| | 6 | |
| | 7 | |
| | 8 | , |
| | 9 | |
| | 10 | |
| | 11 | |
| & MAY | 12 | |
| CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION | 13 | |
| EY, RC | 14 | |
| HEAFE | 15 | |
| SBY, PROFE | 16 | |
| CRC | 17 | |
| | 18 | |
| | 19 | |
| | 20 | |
| | 21 | |
| | 22 | |
| | 23 24 | |
| | 25 | |
| | 26 | |
| | 27 | |
| | 28 | |
| | | - 16 - 9444673.1 |
| | | CONSENT JUDGMENT |

Exhibit B

EXHIBIT B

As counsel for Wilson Sporting Goods Co. in the matter of Michael DiPirro v. Wilson Sporting Goods, Co., Alameda County Superior Court No. H-216056-6, the undersigned hereby represents that this proposed Consent Judgment was sent to the California Attorney General's Office by certified mail, return receipt requested, on April 12, 2001.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 12, 2001.

John E Dittoe

CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY Attorneys for Wilson Sporting Goods Co.